Interview Summary

Application No. 09/526,802

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Parasampuria et al

Sabiha Qazi

Group Art Unit 1616



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO per	
(1) Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner)	(3)
(2) Emily Holiday, Ph.D. (Attorney)	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	· ·
Identification of prior art discussed:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. g was not reached. h \square N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general na any other comments:	ture of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Ms. Holiday called and told the Examiner that restriction requi	rement mailed was incomplete. Examiner agreed to send a
supplimental office action as soon as possible.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ents which the examiner agreed would render the claims by of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Forming No. 10	,
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	